

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the June 3, 2004 Office Action. In that Office Action, claims 1-20 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, 18, 21, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,729,959. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, signed by the attorney of record complying with 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b).

Claim 8 was objected to. Applicant, as shown above, has incorporated the recitations of claims 7 and 8 into claim 1, and thus the claim is now in condition for allowance.


Claims 12-20 were indicated as allowable if a Terminal Disclaimer was filed to overcome the Double Patenting rejection. Accordingly, these claims are also allowed.

A three-month extension request and fee are submitted herewith.

Thus, all currently pending claims 1-6 and 9-20 are in condition for allowance, notice of which is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP



Scott W. Kelley  
Registration No. 30,762

SWK/maf  
Enclosures

6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1650  
Woodland Hills, California 91367  
(818) 347-7900